

Reviewer Evaluation Form

Evaluation Criteria	Reviewer Comments / Assessment
1. Conflict of Interest	Do you have any conflicts of interest concerning the evaluation of this article? <i>(If not applicable, please indicate "None.")</i>
2. Research & Publication Ethics	Do you suspect any violations of research or publication ethics? If yes, please provide details.
3. Novelty & Scientific Contribution	Does the article present new and significant information that warrants publication?
4. Title Evaluation	Is the title suitable, clear, and representative of the manuscript content?
5. Abstract Evaluation	Does the abstract clearly and accurately reflect the content of the article?
6. Problem Statement	Is the research problem articulated clearly and succinctly?
7. Methodology	Are the methods thoroughly and appropriately explained?
8. Results Section	Is the results section clear, coherent, and satisfactory?
9. Discussion & Conclusions	Are the interpretations and conclusions adequately supported by the obtained results?
10. References	Are there sufficient, relevant, and up-to-date references to studies in the field?
11. Language Quality	Is the language at an acceptable academic standard?
12. Publication Priority	Please evaluate the publication priority of this article (<i>1 = Highest Priority, 10 = Lowest Priority</i>).
13. Terminology	Is appropriate scientific terminology employed throughout the text?
14. Figures & Tables	Are the figures and/or tables sufficient, clear, and relevant?

Evaluation Criteria Reviewer Comments / Assessment

15. Manuscript Length Is it necessary to condense or shorten the article?

Overall Recommendation

Recommendation Please Mark

Accept without revision

Accept with minor revisions

Major revisions required

Reject

Additional Comments to the Author(s)

.....
.....
.....

Confidential Comments to the Editor

.....
.....
.....